1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		OF NEVADA
11	STEPHANIE SPILOTRO,	C N 2.10 01506 LAD NW
12	Plaintiff(s),	Case No.: 2:19-cv-01586-JAD-NJK
13	V.	ORDER
14	C.R. BARD, INC., et al.,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16	This case was recently transferred to this Court from MDL proceedings taking place in the	
17	District of Arizona. Pending before the Court is the parties' joint status report. Docket No. 16.	
18	The Court hereby ORDERS as follows:	
19	First, the parties must meet-and-confer regarding the age of Ms. Spilotro's charge. No later	
20	than October 18, 2019, the parties must file either: (1) a joint notice that he is not a minor or, (2)	
21	if he is a minor, a joint explanation why the parties have been using his full name in court filings	
22	in contravention of, <i>inter alia</i> , Rule 5.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
23	Second, the parties must promptly conduct a conference that complies with Rule 26(f) of	
24	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and must file a proposed discovery plan that complies with	
25	Local Rule 26-1 by October 25, 2019.	
26		
27	lan an in the second	
28	¹ The filing is entitled a joint status report "and Proposed Scheduling Order." Docket No 16 at 1. This appears to be a scrivener's error, as no proposed scheduling order was included.	

Third, the parties must meet-and-confer regarding a stipulated protective order to enter in this case, as the Court is not inclined to adopt three separate orders that were entered over time during the MDL proceedings.² No later than October 24, 2019, the parties must file a proposed stipulated protective order.^{3,4} IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 11, 2019 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge

 $^{^2}$ The Court expresses no opinion herein as to the actual terms of those orders, but rather would prefer to adopt a single order that incorporates all relevant terms.

³ This must be a stand-alone filing and not simply included within the proposed discovery plan.

⁴ The joint status report references a host of other issues. The Court expresses no opinion herein on any other issues identified in the joint status report.